

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 09/113,712 07/10/1998 **EDWARD F. HELINSKI** EN997043 8352 07/18/2005 **EXAMINER** CONNOLLY BOVE LODGE & HUTZ, LLP PRONE, JASON D P.O. BOX 227 PAPER NUMBER ART UNIT WILMINGTON, DE 19899 3724

DATE MAILED: 07/18/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

|    | Wi  |
|----|-----|
| ١, | V - |

## Advisory Action

| Application No. | Applicant(s)        |  |  |
|-----------------|---------------------|--|--|
| 09/113,712      | HELINSKI, EDWARD F. |  |  |
| Examiner        | Art Unit            |  |  |
| Jason Prone     | 3724                |  |  |

| Advisory Action  | 09/113,712   | HELINSKI, EDWARI                                      | J F.                                  |  |
|--|--|---|---------------------------------------|--|
| Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief   | Examiner   | Art Unit  |                                       |  |
|  | Jason Prone  | 3724  |                                       |  |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe  | ars on the cover sheet with the c  | correspondence addi                                   | ess                                   |  |
|  |  |   |                                       |  |
| <ul> <li>THE REPLY FILED 05 July 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.</li> <li>The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:</li> <li>a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection.</li> <li>b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.</li> </ul> |  |   |                                       |  |
| Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b) MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f   | . ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FI<br>).   | RST REPLY WAS FILED                                   |                                       |  |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension a CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened stabove, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three month earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  NOTICE OF APPEAL   | and the corresponding amount of the fee.<br>atutory period for reply originally set in the | The appropriate extension final Office action; or (2) | n fee under 37<br>as set forth in (b) |  |
| 2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in com of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any e Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must I AMENDMENTS  | extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)   | ), to avoid dismissal o                               | f the appeal.                         |  |
| 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);   |  |   |                                       |  |
| (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo<br>(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in be  |  | educing or simplifying                                | the issues for                        |  |
| appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a  | corresponding number of finally re   | iected claims.  |                                       |  |
| NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1  |  | ,   |                                       |  |
| 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.   |  | ompliant Amendment                                    | (PTOL-324).                           |  |
| 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):  |  |   |                                       |  |
| 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).  |  |   |                                       |  |
| 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is protected. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:  Claim(s) allowed:   | ⊠ will not be entered, or b) □ wilded below or appended.                                   | ill be entered and an e                               | explanation of                        |  |
| Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-11,21,22 and 25-28</u> .   |  |   |                                       |  |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE   |  |   |                                       |  |
| <ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, b because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>   |  |   |                                       |  |
| <ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessal</li> <li>The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation</li> </ol>  | overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appery and was not earlier presented. S               | al and/or appellant fai<br>See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(       | Is to provide a<br>1).                |  |
| REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER  | of the states of the stands after  | only to bolow or allac                                |                                       |  |
| 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by   | ut does NOT place the application i  | n condition for allowa                                | nce because:                          |  |
| 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s)  13. Other:   |  |   |                                       |  |
|  | 8.8 m- L   | X- /  | ſΧ                                    |  |
| ·  | M. Rach<br>Primary Ex  |   | - (X)                                 |  |

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 4-05)

## Centinuation Sheet (PTOL-303)

## Application No.

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The added limitations were never incoporated into the previous searches, therefore, a new search must be conducted and further consideration must be given. Also, the specification and most importantly the title refer to this appratus as a concentric alignment device. A concentric alignment has a common center or center point. In order for the punches to have a concentric alignment, the punches, in this case, would have to be perfectly aligned. The addition of the language that states the punches do not need to be perfectly aligned could be new matter and a direct contradiction to the title.